



Maternal Mental Health and Neonatal Intensive Care Unit Discharge Readiness in Mothers of Preterm Infants

Elisabeth C. McGowan, MD¹, Nan Du, BS, MD², Katheleen Hawes, PhD, RN^{1,3}, Richard Tucker, BA¹, Melissa O'Donnell, MSW¹, and Betty Vohr, MD¹

Objective To evaluate associations between maternal mental health disorders (MHDs) and discharge readiness for mothers of infants born preterm (<37 weeks). We hypothesized that mothers with a history of MHDs would report decreased perceptions of neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) discharge readiness compared with mothers without a history.

Study design Mothers of infants born preterm in the NICU >5 days between 2012 and 2015 and participating in a transition home program completed a discharge readiness questionnaire measuring perceptions of staff support, infant well-being (medical stability), maternal well-being (emotional readiness/competency), and maternal comfort (worry about infant). Greater scores are more optimal (range 0-100). Social workers obtained a history of MHDs. Group comparisons and regression analyses were run to predict decreased scores and maternal discharge readiness.

Results A total of 37% (315/850) of mothers reported a MHD. They were more likely to be white (64% vs 55% $P = .05$), single (64% vs 45% $P \leq .001$), on Medicaid (61% vs 50% $P = .002$), and less likely to be non-English speaking (10% vs 22%, $P \leq .001$). Mothers with MHD perceived less NICU support (92 ± 13 vs 94 ± 12 , $P = .005$), less emotional readiness for discharge (78 ± 17 vs 81 ± 14 , $P = .04$), and lower family cohesion (81 ± 24 vs 86 ± 19 , $P = .02$) compared with mothers without MHD. Regression modeling (OR; CI) indicated that maternal history of MHDs predicted mother's decreased perception of infant well-being (1.56; 1.05-2.33) and her own well-being (1.99; 1.45-2.8) at discharge.

Conclusion One-third of mothers reported a history of MHDs. This vulnerable group perceive themselves as less ready for discharge home with their infant, indicating an unmet need for provision of enhanced transition services. (*J Pediatr* 2017;184:68-74).

More than 450 000 babies are born preterm in the US.¹ With increased rates of survival, more parents are exposed to extensive medical and nursing interventions. After their infants are discharged from the neonatal intensive care unit (NICU), parents are expected to transition to full-time caregiver responsibilities, so it is not surprising they become anxious when bringing their infant home.² Discharge readiness has been defined as parental emotional comfort and confidence with infant care, in addition to attainment of technical skills and knowledge.³ Therefore, parent mental well-being is critical to parenting readiness.

Time spent in the NICU exposes both infant and parent to stressors.⁴ Parental anxiety has been associated with infant appearance, sights and sounds of the technical NICU environment, alterations in parental role,⁵ concern for developmental outcomes⁶⁻⁸ and anticipated financial burdens.^{5,9-12} Mothers of infants born preterm are at increased risk for poor postpartum functioning, including depression,¹³ anxiety,¹⁴ and posttraumatic distress.¹⁵ The impact of maternal mental health and postpartum psychological distress on the mother-infant dyad is now recognized as a public health priority,¹⁶ because poor maternal mental health adversely affects child cognitive, language, social-emotional, and behavioral development.¹⁷⁻²³ Thus, it is not surprising that parental mental wellness is recognized as a cornerstone of infant wellness.

Stressful life events, poor social support, and prenatal history of psychiatric illness are all strong predictors of postpartum mental illness.²⁴ Prenatal depression has been cited as the strongest predictor for postpartum depression.²⁵ Perceptions of decreased readiness at discharge have been associated with postdischarge depressive symptoms.²⁶ Recent recommendations of recognizing and supporting maternal mental health during the NICU discharge transition have been published²⁷; however, discharge assessment data examining different constructs of maternal perceptions

CSW	Clinical social worker
FIPRE	Fragile Infant Parent Readiness Evaluation
FRS	Family resource specialist
MHD	Mental health disorder
NICU	Neonatal intensive care unit
THP	Transition home program
WIH	Women and Infants Hospital

From the ¹Division of Neonatology, Department of Pediatrics, Women & Infants Hospital, Providence, RI; ²Yale New Haven Children's Hospital, New Haven, CT; and ³College of Nursing, University of Rhode Island, Kingston, RI

Supported by the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, Center for Medicare and Medicaid Innovation (CMS 1C1CMS330993). The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

0022-3476/\$ - see front matter. © 2017 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jpeds.2017.01.052>

of emotional readiness and factors affecting this readiness are lacking. The objective of this paper was to explore the association between maternal mental health disorders (MHDs) and the perception of discharge readiness in mothers of infants born preterm. It was hypothesized that mothers with a history of MHDs would report decreased discharge readiness compared with mothers without a history of MHDs.

Methods

This prospective, cohort study recruited all infants < 37 weeks' gestation between October 2012 and September 2015 hospitalized in the Women and Infants Hospital (WIH) NICU greater than 5 days. Subjects were part of a larger education and support intervention, Partnering with Parents, the Medical Home and Community Providers to Improve Transition Services for High Risk Preterm Infants in Rhode Island (transition home program [THP]). The institutional review board of WIH approved the study. Excluded were mothers unable to read English or Spanish and infants or mothers with a terminal diagnosis. Families were approached, asked for their consent, and enrolled when infants no longer required acute care and were in the discharge preparation phase.

WIH NICU is an 80-bed, single family room level III/IV NICU. Multidisciplinary rounds involve medicine, nursing, nutrition, case managers, respiratory therapists, and social workers. Parents are encouraged to attend daily multidisciplinary rounds and provide basic infant care of diapering, bathing, feeding, skin to skin, and breastfeeding when developmentally and medically appropriate.

Parents enrolled in THP received the standard discharge process, which included nursing review and a demonstration for parents of infant care, viewing formula mixing videos, and cardiopulmonary resuscitation classes. Discharge planners reviewed follow-up appointments and home equipment details. In addition, parents enrolled in THP received enhanced education and support services, which included educational binders (containing information on infant safety topics, infection control, formula recipes, and community resources), parent mental health support, pre-discharge home assessment, and community referrals as needed. Former NICU parents were hired and trained to serve as family resource specialists (FRS). Families enrolled in THP were matched with a FRS. The FRS reviewed the educational binder, administered study questionnaires, and served as a peer mentor. English-, Spanish-, and/or Portuguese-speaking FRS were available. Study clinical social workers (CSWs) supervised the FRS, offered mental health counseling, and facilitated referrals to community providers. Study CSWs worked in conjunction with NICU staff social workers, however, with an emphasis on transition and the home environment.

Maternal characteristics, including age, race, gravida, marital status, education, insurance, involvement with child protective services, domestic violence, and substance abuse were abstracted from the medical record. Infant characteristics included birth weight, gestational age, sex, intraventricular hemorrhage (grade 3-4), necrotizing enterocolitis (>Bell stage 2), sepsis

(culture positive), bronchopulmonary dysplasia (oxygen at 34 weeks' postmenstrual age), breast milk use, oxygen at discharge, and length of stay. Socioeconomic questionnaires were completed at enrollment.

At enrollment, the study CSW obtained a history of MHDs by maternal report and medical record review. Mothers were interviewed and assigned a history of MHDs if they: (1) reported or had a documented diagnosis of anxiety, depression, bipolar disorder, post-traumatic stress disorder, obsessive compulsive disorder, or other diagnosis of the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition*, and or (2) received mental health treatment, either psychotherapy or medication, for any of the aforementioned disorders before enrollment (including prenatal or antenatal). Medical record review included admission notes, social work assessments, and psychiatry consultations.

At discharge, mothers completed the Fragile Infant Parent Readiness Evaluation (FIPRE), which was developed by Health ActCHQ as a quality measure of NICU parent outcomes.²⁸ This discharge tool measures how the parent feels about the NICU care provided to herself and her infant and how emotionally prepared the parent feels to care for her infant at home. It consists of 4 core multi-item Likert-type scales. NICU support reflects how included and informed the parent felt during the NICU stay. Infant well-being reflects how positive a parent feels about infant's current health status and survival. Maternal well-being represents mother's feeling of self-competency and emotional confidence to care for self and infant. Maternal comfort reflects the degree of worry/anxiety the mother is experiencing regarding her infant's current and future health, growth, and development. Response options are "not at all," "a little," "some," and "a lot." Family cohesion (response choices ranging from "excellent" to "poor" on a 5-point scale) and anticipated personal time limitations (response choices ranging from "a lot of time" to "no time" on a 4-point scale) were each single-item scales. For all scales (except time limitation scale) scores are transformed to a standard 0-100 metric. Greater scores are more favorable responses and indicate greater discharge readiness. Mean and median scores are reported. Scores < 75 were evaluated for each scale, to identify responses in the lower three-quarters range of possible scores. Cronbach alpha for each scale was performed to measure internal reliability and ranged from 0.73 to 0.87. Six demographic questions also are asked.

Maternal and infant outcomes for those with and without a history of MHDs were compared with *t* tests and Wilcoxon tests for continuous variables and χ^2 tests for categorical variables. Infant variables were analyzed by the use of random effects models (continuous) or generalized estimating equations (categorical) methods to adjust for multiple births within mothers.

Regression models estimated the effect of MHDs on FIPRE scores while we controlled for independent variables of early preterm, days in NICU, gravida >1, nonwhite, non-English speaking, and Medicaid. A social risk variable comprised a count of selected risk factors, including less than high school education, single, child protective services involvement, and domestic and substance abuse. Statistical analyses were conducted with SAS 9.1 (SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina).

Results

A total of 1504 infants born preterm were eligible for enrollment in THP and 1105 infants (73.5%) were enrolled. This cohort consisted of 934 mothers. The FIPRE response rate was 91% (850/934) and, of those, 315 (37%) mothers reported a history of MHDs. The number of in-person NICU contacts between mothers and FRS did not differ between those with and without MHDs (mean 4.1 ± 3.6 SD vs 3.8 ± 3.2). Maternal characteristics are presented in **Table I**. Mothers with a history of MHDs vs none were more likely white (64% vs 55%, $P = .05$), whereas rates of history of MHDs among each race were as follows: 40% among all white mothers, 30% among all black mothers, and 38% among all Hispanic mothers. Mothers with a history of MHDs were more likely to be single (64% vs 45% $P \leq .001$), receive Medicaid (61% vs 50% $P = .002$), and were less likely to be non-English speaking (10% vs 22%, $P \leq .001$). More mothers with a history of MHDs reported social risks including child protective services involvement (20% vs 5%), domestic abuse (19% vs 3%), and substance abuse (25% vs 4%) compared with mothers without a history. **Table II** depicts infant characteristics; the only difference between groups was infants of mothers with a history of MHDs were less likely to receive breast milk at discharge (60% vs 74% $P \leq .001$).

At discharge, the majority of mothers reported a positive NICU experience (**Table III**), but those with a history of MHDs were more likely to report negatively (9% vs 5%, $P = .01$). In both groups, 18%-19% of mothers expressed elevated concern (scores < 75) regarding their infant's care or survival. Mothers with a history of MHDs reported overall less favorable self-competence/emotional confidence (maternal well-being) compared with mothers without a history of MHDs (78 ± 17 vs 81 ± 14, $P = .04$) and had significantly more scores < 75 (35% vs 26%, $P = .004$). Of all FIPRE scales, the lowest mean scores

Table I. Maternal characteristics* by history of MHDs

Characteristics	MHD, n = 315	No MHD, n = 535	P
Age, y	28.8 ± 6	29.9 ± 6	.01
Group			
Early preterm (<32 wk), n = 266	95 (30)	171 (32)	.12
Moderate preterm (32-33 wk), n = 188	60 (19)	128 (24)	
Late preterm (34-36 wk), n = 396	160 (51)	236 (44)	
Gravida > 1	235 (75)	341 (64)	.001
Race/ethnicity			
White, n = 496	201 (64)	295 (55)	.05
Black, n = 92	28 (9)	64 (12)	
Hispanic, n = 184	65 (21)	119 (23)	
Mixed/other, n = 78	21 (7)	57 (11)	
Not married	198 (64)	239 (45)	<.001
Non-English speaking	31 (10)	118 (22)	<.001
Less than high school education	48 (16)	66 (13)	.14
Medicaid	191 (61)	266 (50)	.002
Child protective services involvement	62 (20)	25 (5)	<.001
Domestic abuse	58 (19)	14 (3)	<.001
Substance abuse	79 (25)	24 (4)	<.001

Values are expressed as n (%) or mean ± SD.
*Based on number of mothers.

Table II. Infant characteristics* by history of MHDs

Characteristics	MHD, n = 367	No MHD, n = 636	P†
Birth weight, g	1905.4 ± 668	1848.7 ± 643	.45
Gestation, wk	32.3 ± 3	32.1 ± 3	.95
Male	188 (51)	346 (54)	.51
Intraventricular hemorrhage (III-IV)	3 (1)	16 (3)	.09
Necrotizing enterocolitis	7 (2)	15 (2)	.64
Sepsis	12 (3)	19 (3)	.80
Bronchopulmonary dysplasia	33 (9)	69 (11)	.61
Breast milk at discharge	218 (60)	467 (74)	<.001
Oxygen at discharge	16 (4)	31 (5)	.76
Days in hospital	34.2 ± 37	35.8 ± 35	.93

Values are expressed as n (%) or mean ± SD.
*Based on number of children.
†P values adjusted for multiple births within mothers.

for both groups were maternal comfort/anxiety items pertaining to infant health and development (75 ± 24 vs 73 ± 45, $P = .2$), with approximately 39%-42% of all mothers having scores < 75, reflecting greater levels of anxiety about infant outcomes. Perceptions of family cohesion were lower for mothers with a history of MHDs (81 ± 24 vs 86 ± 19, $P = .02$). Impact on personal time was similar between both groups.

Regression analyses run to predict FIPRE scores < 75 are shown in **Table IV**. A history of MHDs predicted lower FIPRE infant well-being (OR 1.56; 95% CI 1.05-2.33) and maternal well-being scores (OR 1.99; 95% CI 1.45-2.8). Nonwhite and non-English speaking predicted lower infant well-being scores (OR 1.82; 95% CI 1.17-2.84 and 2.57; 1.59-4.16). Days spent in NICU and non-English speaking predicted lower maternal well-being scores (OR 1.01; 95% CI 1.003-1.02, and 2.1; 1.31-3.33).

Discussion

Study findings supported our hypothesis that a history of MHDs is associated with maternal perception of decreased

Table III. FIPRE scales by maternal history of MHDs

Scales	MHD, n = 315	No MHD, n = 535	P*
NICU support†	91.8 ± 13 (100)	94.0 ± 12 (100)	.005
Score < 75	28 (9)	25 (5)	.01
Infant well-being†	84.8 ± 23 (100)	86.7 ± 22 (100)	.18
Score < 75	61/313 (19)	95 (18)	.53
Maternal well-being†	78.2 ± 17 (81.8)	81.3 ± 14 (84.8)	.04
Score < 75	111/314 (35)	138/533 (26)	.004
Maternal comfort†	74.7 ± 24 (81)	72.8 ± 24 (81.0)	.24
Score < 75	123/312 (39)	223/531 (42)	.46
Family cohesion†	81.2 ± 24 (85)	85.7 ± 19 (85)	.02
Score < 75	74/310 (24)	97/531 (18)	.05
Time impact	(n = 312)	(n = 530)	
A lot	76 (24)	112 (21)	.62‡
Some	73 (23)	121 (23)	
A little	100 (32)	174 (33)	
None	63 (20)	123 (23)	

Values are expressed as mean ± SD (median) or n (%).
*Wilcoxon test.
†Scores 0-100, with greater scores more favorable scores averaged within mother for multiple births
‡χ² test.

Table IV. Logistic regressions for FIPRE scores <75

Characteristics	NICU support OR (95% CI)	Infant well-being OR (95% CI)	Maternal well-being OR (95% CI)	Maternal comfort OR (95% CI)	Family cohesion OR (95% CI)
MHD	1.63 (0.88-2.99)	1.56 (1.05-2.33)	1.99 (1.45-2.8)	1.05 (0.77-1.43)	1.29 (0.89-1.89)
Early preterm	1.17 (0.43-3.17)	0.79 (0.43-1.43)	0.86 (0.52-1.43)	1.24 (0.78-1.96)	1.05 (0.61-1.81)
Days NICU (per day)	0.99 (0.97-1.01)	1.005 (0.99-1.01)	1.01 (1.003-1.02)	1.003 (0.99-1.01)	1.008 (1.001-1.02)
Gravida > 1	0.64 (0.35-1.16)	0.91 (0.62-1.36)	0.81 (0.58-1.13)	0.78 (0.58-1.05)	0.98 (0.67-1.43)
Nonwhite	0.85 (.44-1.65)	1.82 (1.17-2.84)	1.18 (0.81-1.73)	0.96 (0.68-1.34)	1.15 (0.76-1.73)
Non-English speaking	0.62 (0.23-1.64)	2.57 (1.59-4.16)	2.1 (1.31-3.33)	1.7 (1.1-2.60)	1.220 (0.72-1.97)
Medicaid	1.82 (0.88-3.78)	1.31 (0.83-2.10)	0.55 (0.36-0.80)	0.59 (0.41-0.85)	1.39 (0.9-2.16)
Social risk	1.19 (0.91-1.59)	.82 (.66-1.00)	0.97 (0.81-1.14)	0.97 (0.83-1.13)	1.12 (0.43-1.35)

Social risks are less than high school education, single, child protective services involvement, domestic abuse, and substance abuse.

readiness for discharge compared with mothers without a history of MHDs. Although differences were observed between the 2 groups in perception of NICU support, maternal well-being, and family cohesion, there were no differences in perceptions of infant well-being, maternal comfort, and time impact.

NICU discharge is a balance of patient and caregiver readiness, based on infant physiologic stability, family capability, confidence, and the home environment. Our findings support the recommendation from the American Academy of Pediatrics of assessing social risk factors and parental mental illness before a newborn is discharged,²⁹ as well as recently published NICU recommendations endorsing multidisciplinary, layered parent psychosocial support from NICU admission through discharge and beyond.³⁰

Mothers with a history of MHDs were more likely to be single, multiparous, and on public insurance. This finding is similar to other studies, as single mothers report increased use of mental health services, and along with multiparous mothers, greater rates of depression and anxiety.³¹⁻³⁵ Although our mothers were predominantly white, which reflects our local population, rates of MHD history were 40% among white, 36% among Hispanic, and 30% among black mothers. Data on the associations between mental illness and race/ethnicity are conflicting. In general, depression appears to be greater in black or Hispanic mothers³⁶⁻³⁸; however, barriers to access of healthcare³⁹ and cultural differences^{40,41} may lead to underreporting and/or underdiagnosis. Some studies on maternal depression and anxiety have found no racial/ethnic differences,⁴² as well as postulating protective effects of race/ethnicity.⁴³ Discrepancies likely are confounded by economic and social adversities. Our mothers with mental health difficulties were more likely to have a history of child protective services involvement, domestic abuse, and substance abuse, associations that are well documented in literature.⁴⁴⁻⁴⁶

Mothers with a history of MHDs were less likely to provide breast milk at discharge, which has important clinical implications. In addition to protective effects on infant morbidities, maternal benefits are well documented.^{47,48} Breastfeeding has been reported to decrease postpartum anxiety and depression.⁴⁹ It has been reported that women with elevated levels of prepartum anxiety or depression who stop breastfeeding early have disproportionately greater rates of postpartum

anxiety/depression, compared with those without prepartum psychological distress.⁵⁰

Overall, both groups of mothers had a positive NICU experience. The majority of mothers felt included in their infant's care and perceived staff to be responsive and accessible. It has been documented that patients deemed "ready" for discharge have greater hospital and provider satisfaction.⁵¹ It is likely the single family room NICU environment played a role, as studies have shown that this environment increases parent satisfaction.⁵² Stevens et al⁵³ reported parents in single family room NICUs perceived better staff communication, attention, and respect compared with an open-bay unit. Despite our high NICU satisfaction rate, 9% percent of mothers with a history of MHDs had a less favorable experience compared with 5% of mothers without MHD. This finding may reflect a subtle but important point for staff, that psychologically unwell mothers may need additional bedside communication and support throughout their infant's hospitalization.

We recently reported that mothers with negative perceptions of self during the discharge process were at increased risk for symptoms of depression 1 month after their infant's discharge from the NICU.²⁶ In the current study, a history of MHDs was an independent risk factor for mother's poor perception of self and infant. Specifically, regression analysis revealed that mothers with a history of MHDs were 1.5 times more likely to be more fearful of their infant not surviving (infant well-being scale) and were twice as likely to have a lower perception of self confidence/competence (maternal well-being scale) during the critical transitioning-of-caregiver discharge period. FIPRE maternal well-being scale asks the mother to reflect on her emotional state, such as feeling "overwhelmed by all that has happened" or "uncertain about what to do once the baby is home." These feelings are in concordance with the construct of maternal self-efficacy, which is mother's belief in her ability to parent.⁵⁴ Low maternal self-efficacy has been correlated with maternal depression, anxiety, and stress.^{55,56} Infant well-being scale captures feelings of worry about survival, even though infants are typically clinically stable when nearing discharge. Our results likely include mothers with clinical anxiety and depression diagnoses but also may be capturing those with subclinical symptoms. Nonetheless, mothers with poor mental health are beginning the discharge transition in a more vulnerable position. And, importantly, a lower (less favorable) score

could identify those who would most benefit from targeted, timely psychosocial assessment and intervention.

Although no group differences were seen in questions pertaining to concern with infant's sleeping, eating, growth patterns, or future developmental potential (maternal comfort scale), mean scores were overall low, with nearly one-half of all mothers scoring < 75. These topics are common concerns for parents of infants requiring NICU care⁵⁷ and have important long-term implications. We previously reported low scores in this scale to be associated with postdischarge depression symptoms.²⁶ Our finding suggests that despite THP-enhanced efforts in anticipatory education, the infant's medical and developmental needs continue to be distressing for mothers. Ongoing educational focus and support of infant future health needs and development should remain a priority.

Family cohesion responses allow a glimpse into the social dynamics of the home. This single-question scale rates a family's "ability to get along" and should be interpreted cautiously. Low social support has been shown to be a predictor of anxiety and depression in postpartum families.⁵⁸⁻⁶⁰ Poorer functioning has been reported in families with infants born preterm,¹⁹ and family relationships/cohesion have been linked to infant developmental outcomes.⁶¹ Within our cohort, 18%-24% of all mothers reported less favorable responses, and this item could serve as a prompt to discuss family support networks.

Non-English-speaking mothers were less likely to report a history of MHDs, yet non-English speaking was an independent risk factor for several FIPRE scales. Independent of mental health, we show that language barriers affect multiple components of the discharge process. Raffray et al⁶² support this finding, as non-native speaking families were found to have prolonged NICU discharges. Effective language accommodation in healthcare is challenging, and non-English-speaking parents report feelings of discrimination and difficulty establishing trust with medical personnel.⁶³ Not wanting to be a burden often leads to parents communicating enough to "get by,"⁶⁴ potentially resulting in misinterpretation of information. Despite THP providing culturally sensitive Spanish- and Portuguese-speaking FRS, decreased readiness persisted.

Our regression analyses also revealed that a mother on Medicaid was more likely to report feeling positive about her well-being and confident in her ability to care for herself and child. We hypothesize that this confidence may reflect a perception of Medicaid as a "safety net" after adjusting for other social and economic adversities. An alternative hypothesis is that mothers receiving Medicaid may not want to place themselves in a more vulnerable or socially undesirable situation, and thus report bias should also be considered.^{65,66}

Strengths of our study include evaluation of a discharge readiness assessment tool for high-risk infants born preterm, large heterogeneous cohort of mothers, and assessment of impact of maternal mental health, psychosocial, and infant factors on discharge. Discharge readiness assessments often are derived from instruments used for healthy infants born at term, are nurse-based assessments, and/or only briefly address psychological preparedness.⁶⁷⁻⁷⁰ Our study explores in detail the

emotional factors that contribute to discharge readiness. A limitation is that MHD was based on maternal report or medical records and not direct assessment, although our study intent was not to diagnose MHDs. Our reporting of scores <75 allowed us to evaluate the lower range of scores; however, future use of the FIPRE in conjunction with standardized mental health assessments could aid in accurately defining and targeting mothers with current depression and/or anxiety, while supporting the clinical relevance of scores. All mothers in this study received the same THP discharge support services and both groups received the same number of personal contacts with their FRS or CSW. We postulate that mothers with MHDs need increased support, and this discharge readiness tool, used in conjunction with mental health support teams, can help guide more effective discharge preparation. To further explore outcomes of mothers and infants relative to discharge readiness, study participants are being followed for postdischarge outcomes, including use of the emergency department and rehospitalization.

In conclusion, a history of MHDs was reported in one-third of mothers of infants born preterm. Mothers with poor mental health perceive themselves to be less ready for NICU discharge, particularly pertaining to feelings of self well-being. Gaining insight to where parents may be struggling presents opportunities to tailor and improve NICU discharge readiness protocols. Further investigation of maternal perception of "readiness" to transition home, especially those mothers with poor mental health, and postdischarge mother/infant dyad support and outcomes is needed. ■

Submitted for publication Sep 20, 2016; last revision received Dec 14, 2016; accepted Jan 20, 2017

Reprint requests: Elisabeth C. McGowan, MD, Department of Pediatrics, Women & Infants Hospital of Rhode Island, 101 Dudley St, Providence, RI 02905-2499. E-mail: emcgowan@wihri.org

References

- Martin JA, Hamilton BE, Osterman MJ, Curtin SC, Mathews TJ. Birth: final data for 2013. *Natl Vital Stat Rep* 2015;64:1-66.
- Turner M, Winefield H, Chur-Hansen A. The emotional experiences and supports for parents with babies in a neonatal nursery. *Adv Neonatal Care* 2013;13:438-46.
- Smith VC, Hwang SS, Dukhovny D, Young S, Pursley DM. Neonatal intensive care unit discharge preparation, family readiness and infant outcomes: connecting the dots. *J Perinatol* 2013;33:415-21.
- Balakrishnan A, Stephens BE, Burke RT, Yatchmink Y, Alksninis BL, Tucker R, et al. Impact of very low birth weight infants on the family at 3 months corrected age. *Early Hum Dev* 2011;87:31-5.
- Franck LS, Cox S, Allen A, Winter I. Measuring neonatal intensive care unit-related parental stress. *J Adv Nurs* 2005;49:608-15.
- Dunkel Schetter C, Tanner L. Anxiety, depression and stress in pregnancy: implications for mothers, children, research, and practice. *Curr Opin Psychiatry* 2012;25:141-8.
- Miles MS, Holditch-Davis D. Parenting the prematurely born child: pathways of influence. *Semin Perinatol* 1997;21:254-66.
- Carter JD, Mulder RT, Darlow BA. Parental stress in the NICU: the influence of personality, psychological, pregnancy and family factors. *Personal Ment Health* 2007;1:40-50.
- Carter MC, Miles MS. The Parental Stressor Scale: pediatric intensive care unit. *Matern Child Nurs J* 1988;18:187-98.
- Seideman RY, Watson MA, Corff KE, Odle P, Haase J, Bowerman JL. Parent stress and coping in NICU and PICU. *J Pediatr Nurs* 1997;12:169-77.

11. Pinelli J. Effects of family coping and resources on family adjustment and parental stress in the acute phase of the NICU experience. *Neonatal Netw* 2000;19:27-37.
12. Chourasia N, Surianarayanan P, Adhisivam B, Bhat BV. NICU admissions and maternal stress levels. *Indian J Pediatr* 2013;80:380-4.
13. Vigod SN, Villegas L, Dennis CL, Ross LE. Prevalence and risk factors for postpartum depression among women with preterm and low-birth-weight infants: a systematic review. *BJOG* 2010;117:540-50.
14. Singer LT, Salvator A, Guo S, Collin M, Lilien L, Baley J. Maternal psychological distress and parenting stress after the birth of a very low-birth-weight infant. *JAMA* 1999;281:799-805.
15. Greene MM, Rossmann B, Patra K, Kratovil AL, Janes JE, Meier PP. Depression, anxiety, and perinatal-specific posttraumatic distress in mothers of very low birth weight infants in the neonatal intensive care unit. *J Dev Behav Pediatr* 2015;36:362-70.
16. Rhodes A, Segre L. Perinatal depression: a review of U.S. legislation and law. *Arch Womens Ment Health* 2013;16:259-70.
17. Hay DF, Pawlby S, Waters CS, Sharp D. Antepartum and postpartum exposure to maternal depression: different effects on different adolescent outcomes. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry* 2008;49:1079-88.
18. Woodward LJ, Bora S, Clark CA, Montgomery-Honger A, Pritchard VE, Spencer C, et al. Very preterm birth: maternal experiences of the neonatal intensive care environment. *J Perinatol* 2014;34:555-61.
19. Treyvaud K, Doyle LW, Lee KJ, Roberts G, Cheong JL, Inder TE, et al. Family functioning, burden and parenting stress 2 years after very preterm birth. *Early Hum Dev* 2011;87:427-31.
20. Nomura Y, Wickramaratne PJ, Pilowsky DJ, Newcorn JH, Bruder-Costello B, Davey C, et al. Low birth weight and risk of affective disorders and selected medical illness in offspring at high and low risk for depression. *Compr Psychiatry* 2007;48:470-8.
21. Zekowitz P, Na S, Wang T, Bardin C, Papageorgiou A. Early maternal anxiety predicts cognitive and behavioural outcomes of VLBW children at 24 months corrected age. *Acta Paediatr* 2011;100:700-4.
22. Carter AS, Garrity-Rokous FE, Chazan-Cohen R, Little C, Briggs-Gowan MJ. Maternal depression and comorbidity: predicting early parenting, attachment security, and toddler social-emotional problems and competencies. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry* 2001;40:18-26.
23. Nicol-Harper R, Harvey AG, Stein A. Interactions between mothers and infants: impact of maternal anxiety. *Infant Behav Dev* 2007;30:161-7.
24. Robertson E, Grace S, Wallington T, Stewart DE. Antenatal risk factors for postpartum depression: a synthesis of recent literature. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry* 2004;26:289-95.
25. Beck CT. Predictors of postpartum depression: an update. *Nurs Res* 2001;50:275-85.
26. Hawes K, McGowan E, O'Donnell M, Tucker R, Vohr B. Social emotional factors increase risk of postpartum depression in mothers of preterm infants. *J Pediatr* 2016;179:61-7.
27. Purdy IB, Craig JW, Zeanah P. NICU discharge planning and beyond: recommendations for parent psychosocial support. *J Perinatol* 2015;35(suppl 1):S24-8.
28. HealthActCHQ Inc. Fragile Infant Parent Readiness Evaluation (NICU FIPRE rev 3). Cambridge (MA): HealthActCHQ; 2010.
29. Benitz WE, Committee on Fetus and Newborn. Hospital stay for healthy term newborn infants. *Pediatrics* 2015;135:948-53.
30. Hynan MT, Hall SL. Psychosocial program standards for NICU parents. *J Perinatol* 2015;35(suppl 1):S1-4.
31. Cairney J, Wade TJ. Single parent mothers and mental health care service use. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol* 2002;37:236-42.
32. Cairney J, Boyle M, Offord DR, Racine Y. Stress, social support and depression in single and married mothers. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol* 2003;38:442-9.
33. Mayberry LJ, Horowitz JA, Declercq E. Depression symptom prevalence and demographic risk factors among U.S. women during the first 2 years postpartum. *J Obstet Gynecol Neonatal Nurs* 2007;36:542-9.
34. Adelman P. Mental and substance use disorders among Medicaid recipients: prevalence estimates from two national surveys. *Adm Policy Ment Health* 2003;31:111-29.
35. Crosier T, Butterworth P, Rodgers B. Mental health problems among single and partnered mothers. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol* 2007;42:6-13.
36. Howell EA, Mora PA, Horowitz CR, Leventhal H. Racial and ethnic differences in factors associated with early postpartum depressive symptoms. *Obstet Gynecol* 2005;105:1442-50.
37. Kim H, Cain R, Viner-Brown S, Center for Health Data and Analysis, Rhode Island Department of Health. 2014 Rhode Island pregnancy risk assessment monitoring system data book. Providence (RI): Rhode Island Department of Health; 2014.
38. Mukherjee S, Trepka MJ, Pierre-Victor D, Bahelah R, Avent T. Racial/ethnic disparities in antenatal depression in the United States: a systematic review. *Matern Child Health J* 2016;20:1780-97.
39. Morales LS, Lara M, Kington RS, Valdez RO, Escarce JJ. Socioeconomic, cultural, and behavioral factors affecting Hispanic health outcomes. *J Health Care Poor Underserved* 2002;13:477-503.
40. Markides KS, Coreil J. The health of Hispanics in the southwestern United States: an epidemiologic paradox. *Public Health Rep* 1986;101:253-65.
41. Santos-Lozada AR. Self-rated mental health and race/ethnicity in the United States: support for the epidemiological paradox. *PeerJ* 2016;4:e2508.
42. Rogers CE, Kidokoro H, Wallendorf M, Inder TE. Identifying mothers of very preterm infants at-risk for postpartum depression and anxiety before discharge. *J Perinatol* 2013;33:171-6.
43. Breslau J, Aguilar-Gaxiola S, Kendler KS, Su M, Williams D, Kessler RC. Specifying race-ethnic differences in risk for psychiatric disorder in a USA national sample. *Psychol Med* 2006;36:57-68.
44. Roberts GL, Lawrence JM, Williams GM, Raphael B. The impact of domestic violence on women's mental health. *Aust N Z J Public Health* 1998;22:796-801.
45. Campbell JC. Health consequences of intimate partner violence. *Lancet* 2002;359:1331-6.
46. Arnow BA. Relationships between childhood maltreatment, adult health and psychiatric outcomes, and medical utilization. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2004;65:10-5.
47. Lau C, Hurst NM, Smith EO, Schanler RJ. Ethnic/racial diversity, maternal stress, lactation and very low birthweight infants. *J Perinatol* 2007;27:399-408.
48. Ahrabi AF, Schanler RJ. Human milk is the only milk for premies in the NICU! *Early Hum Dev* 2013;89(suppl 2):S51-3.
49. Hahn-Hollbrook J, Haselton MG, Dunkel Schetter C, Glynn LM. Does breastfeeding offer protection against maternal depressive symptomatology? A prospective study from pregnancy to 2 years after birth. *Arch Womens Ment Health* 2013;16:411-22.
50. Ystrom E. Breastfeeding cessation and symptoms of anxiety and depression: a longitudinal cohort study. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* 2012;12:36.
51. Schmocker RK, Holden SE, Vang X, Levenson GE, Cherney Stafford LM, Winslow ER. Association of patient-reported readiness for discharge and hospital consumer assessment of health care providers and systems patient satisfaction scores: a retrospective analysis. *J Am Coll Surg* 2015;221:1073-82, e1-3.
52. Watson J, DeLand M, Gibbins S, York EM, Robson K. Improvements in staff quality of work life and family satisfaction following the move to single-family room NICU design. *Adv Neonatal Care* 2014;14:129-36.
53. Stevens DC, Helseth CC, Khan MA, Munson DP, Reid EJ. A comparison of parent satisfaction in an open-bay and single-family room neonatal intensive care unit. *HERD* 2011;4:110-23.
54. Bandura A. Regulation of cognitive processes through perceived self-efficacy. *Dev Psychol* 1989;25:729-35.
55. Leahy-Warren P, McCarthy G. Maternal parental self-efficacy in the postpartum period. *Midwifery* 2011;27:802-10.
56. Porter CL, Hsu HC. First-time mothers' perceptions of efficacy during the transition to motherhood: links to infant temperament. *J Fam Psychol* 2003;17:54-64.
57. Cho JY, Lee J, Youn YA, Kim SJ, Kim SY, Sung IK. Parental concerns about their premature infants' health after discharge from the neonatal intensive care unit: a questionnaire survey for anticipated guidance in a neonatal follow-up clinic. *Korean J Pediatr* 2012;55:272-9.

58. Helle N, Barkmann C, Ehrhardt S, von der Wense A, Nestoriuc Y, Bindt C. Postpartum anxiety and adjustment disorders in parents of infants with very low birth weight: cross-sectional results from a controlled multicentre cohort study. *J Affect Disord* 2016;194:128-34.
59. Logsdon MC, Usui W. Psychosocial predictors of postpartum depression in diverse groups of women. *West J Nurs Res* 2001;23:563-74.
60. Poehlmann J, Schwichtenberg AJ, Bolt D, Dilworth-Bart J. Predictors of depressive symptom trajectories in mothers of preterm or low birth weight infants. *J Fam Psychol* 2009;23:690-704.
61. Carlson EA, Sampson MC, Sroufe LA. Implications of attachment theory and research for developmental-behavioral pediatrics. *J Dev Behav Pediatr* 2003;24:364-79.
62. Raffray M, Semenic S, Osorio Galeano S, Ochoa Marin SC. Barriers and facilitators to preparing families with premature infants for discharge home from the neonatal unit. Perceptions of health care providers. *Invest Educ Enferm* 2014;32:379-92.
63. Fields A, Abraham M, Gaughan J, Haines C, Hoehn KS. Language matters: race, trust, and outcomes in the pediatric emergency department. *Pediatr Emerg Care* 2016;32:222-6.
64. Steinberg EM, Valenzuela-Araujo D, Zickafoose JS, Kieffer E, DeCamp LR. The "battle" of managing language barriers in health care. *Clin Pediatr (Phila)*, 2016. pii: 0009922816629760. [Epub ahead of print].
65. McGrath P, Phillips E. Making them more vulnerable: nursing insights on the irony of using questionnaires. *Nurs Forum* 2007;42:65-72.
66. Bou Malham P, Saucier G. The conceptual link between social desirability and cultural normativity. *Int J Psychol* 2016;51:474-80.
67. Smith VC, Young S, Pursley DM, McCormick MC, Zupancic JA. Are families prepared for discharge from the NICU? *J Perinatol* 2009;29:623-9.
68. Sheikh L, O'Brien M, McCluskey-Fawcett K. Parent preparation for the NICU-to-home transition: staff and parent perceptions. *Child Health Care* 1993;22:227-39.
69. Weiss ME, Lokken L. Predictors and outcomes of postpartum mothers' perceptions of readiness for discharge after birth. *J Obstet Gynecol Neonatal Nurs* 2009;38:406-17.
70. Robison M, Pirak C, Morrell C. Multidisciplinary discharge assessment of the medically and socially high-risk infant. *J Perinat Neonatal Nurs* 2000;13:67-86.